

Can a Pilot Demonstration be too Small?

William Lundy, Sr. Sr. Vice President DeepEarth Technologies, Inc

> IPEC 2015 Denver, CO





• A Pilot demonstration was requested to validate the effectiveness of a technology on chlorinated compounds in impacted soils at a site where dry cleaning businesses have operated for over 20 years.









- Demonstrate the viability of the technology to reduce the tetrachloroethene (PCE) concentrations within the pilot area to the below regulatory limits.
- Determine information to optimize the treatment of the entire site.





Cool-Ox[®] was selected for the pilot demonstration based upon successes treating dry cleaner sites.











 A highly contaminated area adjacent to the former Dry Cleaner's building was targeted.

Selection

• A small area was selected (5 x 6 x 5 ft. bgs.) to save money.













- Dosage-ten (10) gallons per cubic yard
- Application technique soil blending





- Very active reactions were observed with the evolution of significant quantities of carbon dioxide gas indicating presence of perc.
- No heavy foams were observed indicating no Stoddard solvent present.

















• Based on the sampling results, it appeared that insufficient or no destruction of contaminants was observed? Why?











- No samples were taken immediately after the application.
- Time elapsed, allowed contaminate intrusion from surrounding soils.
- Thus, it appeared that no destruction of contaminants occurred.
- But, how do we know....

Remember the foam!

Let's learn from another expert.





The Conventional Understanding of ISCO Chemistry:

Oxidation of PCE*: $4H_2O_2 = 4H_2O + 4O^{+2}$

 $4O^{+2} + C_2CI_4 = 2CO_2 + 4CI^{-1}$

Brown cautions that SOD may "rob" O+2









If SOD acts as an O+2 sink, then:

- Little or no PCE reduction should have occurred
 - (but we saw substantial reduction!)
- Site's SOD data suggest that ~200 applications will be needed to "break even"
- Therefore, the site's empirical data suggest that SOD is not acting as a "sink", and thus is not relevant.
- What does this mean?
- Maybe the conventional theory is flawed...





An alternative explanation of ISCO Chemistry:

Bimolecular nucleophilic substitution $(S_n 2)$ of chloride ion:

 $2H_2O_2 = 4OH^ 4OH^- + C_2CI_4 = C_2(OH)_4 + 4CI^ 2CO_2 + 4 CL^-$

The OH⁻ displaces CI⁻, and thus SOD is irrelevant









The S_n2 Theory is supported by Site's data:

Substantial PCE reduction occurred







(Produce Hydrogen Peroxide In-Situ)

 $CaO_2 + H_2O \rightarrow Ca^{+2} + OH^{-1} + H_2O_2 + O_2$

(Chelates Activate Intrinsic Catalysts – Produces Radicals) $H_2O_2 + Fe^{+2} \rightarrow OH^{-1} + [OH]^{\bullet} + Fe^{+3}$ $H_2O_2 + Fe^{+3} \rightarrow OH^{-1} + [OOH]^{\bullet} + Fe^{+2}$

(Radicals React with Contaminants – Oxidation By-products) $[OH]^{\bullet} \& [OOH]^{\bullet} + C_x \rightarrow C_x(OH)_y + CO_2$

(Biodegradable By-products Used by Microbes) $C_x(OH)_y + O_2 \rightarrow CO_2$ Totally Green (Reductive Dechlorination) ${}_nC_2(CI)_x + (OH)^- \rightarrow {}_nCO_2 + (CI)^-$







- Had a larger area (say 10 x 10 feet) been treated, samples could have been collected from the center of the treatment area where reductions would have been apparent.
- Our understanding of conventional ISCO chemistry was revised where halogenated compounds are present.
- SOD is irrelevant when employing abiotic reductive dechlorination.







William Lundy Sr. Vice President DeepEarth Technologies, Inc. wlundy@cool-ox.com

www.deepearthtech.com

(877) 266-5691

