

Improving Conventional Flotation Methods to Treat EOR Polymer Rich Produced Water

Authors: Frank A. Richerand, Frank Richerand II Yoosef Peymani



www.envirotechsystems.com

OBJECTIVES

- Compare IGF and DGF to identify the *main criteria affecting the performance and functionality of flotation units* and *their accessories in different environments*
- Describe the effects and *benefits of using both IGF and DGF* at the same time
- <u>Verify the hypothesis</u> through three years of laboratory and field study





GAS FLOTATION: DYNAMICS

• The flotation process occurs in three steps:



- Gas bubbles are the lightest fluid *powerful buoyancy*
- Gas bubbles exchange upward momentum with surrounding media <u>drag</u> <u>momentum exchange</u>



GAS FLOTATION: DYNAMICS

- Manipulation of turbulence motion <u>Eddy's</u>
- Homogeneous flow
 - One phase
 - Eddies grow and create large swirl
- Heterogeneous flow
 - Multiple phases
 - Small eddy's are created



• Utilizing the same total turbulence, the introduction of gas bubbles increases collision – *higher efficiency in separation*



GAS FLOTATION: APPLICATION

- Flotation units, which operate with the assistance of gas in the flotation process, have been widely <u>used for the treatment of produced water both</u> <u>onshore and offshore</u>.
- Differing technologies are applied to Flotation units for *specific applications*.
- <u>Advantages and disadvantages of each arrangement + the requirements of the</u> <u>process = determine the choice of gas floatation mechanism</u>.
- These methods can be divided in two major categories:
 - 1. Induced Gas Flotation (IGF)
 - 2. Dissolved Gas Flotation (DGF).



Induced Gas Flotation Technology







• Eductor IGF (hydraulic):

- gas is introduced into a slipstream of effluent
- because the fluid must be recycled back into the system, this design effectively decreases the residence time of the fluid to be treated
- Impeller (mechanical):
 - utilizes an electric motor impeller
 - dependent on the application, mechanical units tend to be more efficient than hydraulic units
 - mechanical units are associated with maintenance difficulties and the emission of noxious vapors
- Sparger:
 - sparger tubes
 - plugging/ fouling of pores create non-uniformity and high pressure drop
 - limited with scale tendency of inorganic solutes



Dissolved Gas Flotation Technology

- Saturator Vessel:
 - gas is dissolved into the recycle flow by adding air under pressure in a vessel
- DGF Pump:
 - single pump is used to mix gas and recirculation water







FLOTATION TRADE-OFF

• Induced Gas Flotation (IGF), Eductor:

- most common IGF design
- simplicity of design
- least number of operational issues
- * *IGF efficiency is limited* by the minimum oil droplet size wherein smallest gas bubble can separate

• Dissolved Gas Flotation (DGF), Micro-Bubble Pump:

- most common DGF method
- * The <u>retention time</u> required to effectively remove the contaminates only using Micro-Bubbles <u>is higher</u> than IGF. However, the overall efficiency of the gas flotation is significantly more.







THEORY

- Shortcomings motivated investigation of combining both methods
- Early stage introduction of micro-bubbles will create an intermediate layer around oil droplets and micro-bubbles will lift the oil droplets by attaching to this layer





1- LITERATURE STUDY AND COMPUTATIONAL FLUID DYNAMICS

- A partial differential mathematical model was developed by Modification on O'Rooke's Collision Model through Stochastic Analysis to define the effect of gas bubble size on oil separation.
- The separation was modeled through Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)
- The simulation proved the followings:
 - I. The attachment of Oil droplets to gas bubbles are directly correlated to:
 - a. Gas to Oil diameter ratio: Smaller the ratio, more attachment of oil and gas bubbles
 - b. Interfacial Tension between Water/Oil, Oil/Gas, Gas/Water controls the attachment of oil droplets and gas bubbles.
 - II. Large Eddy's will break to smaller ones
 - III. Small gas bubbles cannot float oil droplets to surface in short retention time.



2- LABORATORY TEST

• Different configuration was tested in controlled environment to optimize operating conditions (Gas Fraction and Operating Pressure)





TEST SETUP



2- LABORATORY TEST

WATER SPECIMEN WITH MICRO BUBBLE



VIDEO MICROSCOPY OF MICRO-BUBBLES (FRESH WATER





2- LABORATORY SETUP



3.1- FIELD TEST 1: OMAN

- ENVIRO-CELL EC-3 (hydraulic IGF) Eductors + Micro-Bubble Pump
- Advanced Sensors EX-100
 - inlet and outlet oil concentration
 - efficiency in different scenarios

• Oman (Field X) Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR)

- primary water flooding
- secondary polymer flooding





3.1- RESULTS

• Comparison of IGF, DGF, IGF+DGF



ENVIRO-TECH SYSTEMS "PROCESS WATER SPECIALISTS"

3.2- FIELD TEST 2: TEXAS, USA





3.2- FIELD TEST 2: TEXAS, USA



ENVIRO-TECH SYSTEMS "PROCESS WATER SPECIALISTS"

3.3- FIELD TEST 3: COLORADO, USA





3.3- FIELD TEST 3: COLORADO, USA

- The test currently in progress, and the data has not been compiled/analyzed yet.
- On average, the efficiency increased from 80% to 90%-95% by starting the DGF (Micro-Bubble) pump.



CONCLUSION

- IGF efficiency is limited by size of oil droplet in polymer rich produced water
- DGF bubbles not strong enough to meet retention time in polymer rich produced water
- PROVEN COMBINATION: by combining IGF and DGF in the first step the speed of rise is increased in polymer rich produced water conditions



Questions

